



T.C.
KONYA VALİLİĞİ
Hukuk İşleri Şube Müdürlüğü



Sayı : 86182137-659-E.11866
Konu : E-Apostil Uygulaması

DAĞITIMLI
28/05/2019

KONYA NECMETTİN ERBAKAN ÜNİVERSİTESİ REKTÖRLÜĞÜNE

- İlgi : a) İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğünün 22.05.2019 tarihli ve 93473020-492/9757 sayılı yazısı.
b) İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğünün 22.05.2019 tarihli ve 93473020-492/9758 sayılı yazısı.
c) İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğünün 24.05.2019 tarihli ve 93473020-492/9877 sayılı yazısı.

İçişleri Bakanlığı İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğünün " e-Apostil uygulaması" konulu ilgi yazıları ekte gönderilmiştir.
Bilgi ve gereğini rica ederim.

Fazlı AKGÜN
Vali a.
Vali Yardımcısı

Ek:

- 1- İlgi yazı ve ekleri (4 sayfa)
- 2- İlgi yazı ve ekleri (4 sayfa)
- 3- İlgi yazı ve ekleri (14 sayfa)



Dağıtım:

Konya Büyükşehir Belediye Başkanlığına
Konya Selçuk Üniversitesi Rektörlüğüne
Konya Teknik Üniversitesi Rektörlüğüne
Konya Necmettin Erbakan Üniversitesi Rektörlüğüne
Konya Gıda ve Tarım Üniversitesi Rektörlüğüne
Kto Karatay Üniversitesi Rektörlüğüne

*Bu belge elektronik imzalıdır. imzalı suretinin aslını görmek için <https://www.e-icisleri.gov.tr/EvrakDogrulama> adresine girerek (7q95EI-tQUq84-ONK1we-s00hSG-ND/RjES1) kodunu yazınız.

31 İlçe Kaymakamlığına
(Belediye Başkanlıklarına Kaymakamlıklarca gönderilecektir.)
Konya İl Jandarma Komutanlığına
İl Emniyet Müdürlüğüne
Konya İl Göç İdaresi Müdürlüğüne
Konya İl Nüfus ve Vatandaşlık Müdürlüğüne
Konya Sosyal Güvenlik İl Müdürlüğüne
Konya Çevre ve Şehircilik İl Müdürlüğüne
Konya Tapu ve Kadastro 5. Bölge Müdürlüğüne
Konya İl Milli Eğitim Müdürlüğüne
Konya İl Sağlık Müdürlüğüne
Konya Bilim, Sanayi ve Teknoloji İl Müdürlüğüne
Konya Tarım ve Orman İl Müdürlüğüne
Konya Ticaret İl Müdürlüğüne

*Bu belge elektronik imzalıdır. imzalı suretinin aslını görmek için <https://www.e-icisleri.gov.tr/EvrakDogrulama> adresine girerek (7q95EI-tQUq84-ONKIwe-s00hSG-ND/RjESi) kodunu yazınız.



T.C.
İÇİŞLERİ BAKANLIĞI
İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğü



Sayı : 93473020-492-E.9757
Konu : Apostil Sözleşmesinin Filipinler'de
Yürürlüğe Girmesi

22/05/2019

İlgi : a) Dışişleri Bakanlığının 15.05.2019 tarihli ve 23409968 sayılı yazısı.
b) Dışişleri Bakanlığının 17.05.2019 tarihli ve 23421586 sayılı yazısı.

Dışişleri Bakanlığından alınan "Apostil Sözleşmesinin Filipinler'de Yürürlüğe Girmesi" konulu ilgi (a) ve (b) yazılar ekte gönderilmiştir.

Bilgi ve gereğini rica ederim.

Nurtaç ARSLAN
Bakan a.
Genel Müdür Yardımcısı

Ek: İlgi (a) ve (b) yazı

Dağıtım:

Bilgi İşlem Dairesi Başkanlığına
81 İl Valiliği

*Bu belge elektronik imzalıdır. imzalı suretinin aslını görmek için <https://www.e-icisleri.gov.tr/EvrakDogrulama> adresine girerek (HzGqwl-NmZpd5-Fkj bI8-z9QMO6-ICHsdNVU) kodunu yazınız.

T.C.
DIŐIŐLERİ BAKANLIĐI
Konsolosluk İŐleri Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼

Sayı : 80225068-400.18.03-2019/23409968
Konu : Apostil S¼zleŐmesinin Filipinler'de
Y¼r¼l¼Đe Girmesi

15.05.2019

DAĐITIM YERLERİNE

İlgi: 20.09.2018 tarih ve 2018/80225068/14331811 sayılı yazı.

1. "Apostil S¼zleŐmesi"nin Filipinler'de y¼r¼l¼Đe girmesine iliŐkin olarak Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı'nca yapılan aıklamanın metni Manila B¼y¼keliliĐimizden alınmakla 2. maddede sunulmaktadır.

2. "Public Advisory: Apostille Convention on Authentication of Documents Takes Effect in PH on 14 May 2019

The Department of Foreign Affairs (DFA)—Office of Consular Affairs wishes to inform the public that it will no longer issue Authentication Certificates from 14 May 2019. Instead, the DFA will affix an Apostille to documents for use abroad as proof of authentication for use in Apostille-contracting parties.

After authentication by the DFA, there is no more need for authentication (legalization) by the concerned Foreign Embassies or Consulates General if the country or territory of destination of the authenticated document is already a member of the Apostille Convention.

Public documents executed in Apostille-contracting countries and territories (except for Austria, Finland, Germany and Greece) to be used in the Philippines no longer have to be authenticated by the Philippine Embassy or Consulate General once Apostillized.

However, in countries and territories which are not Apostille-contracting parties, the previous process of authentication applies. Documents still have to be authenticated by the Philippine Embassy or Consulate General before they can be used in the Philippines. Also, there is still a need for authentication (legalization) by the concerned Foreign Embassies or Consulates General if the country of destination of the authenticated document is not yet a member of the Apostille Convention.

Authentication fees will remain at PhP100 (regular processing) and PhP200 (expedited processing) per document. For the updated list of Apostille-contracting parties, please visit this link:<https://www.hcch.net/en/instruments/conventions/status-table/?cid=4>"

Saygılarımla arzederim.

Bu belge 5070 sayılı Elektronik İmza Kanunu'na g¼re g¼venli elektronik imza ile imzalanmıŐtır. Evrakın elektronik imzalı suretine <https://e-belge.mfa.gov.tr> adresinden dfb39f50-22a0-4562-86d2-9294c1eca72f kodu ile eriŐebilirsiniz.



e-imzalıdır
Yeşim GÜNDOĞDU
ÇOBANOĞLU
Bakan a.
Daire Başkanı
KOPR

Fiziki olarak gönderilmeyecektir.

Dağıtım:

Bilgi:

Adalet Bakanlığı - Müsteşarlık - Müsteşar Yardımcılığı - Hukuk İşleri Genel Müdürlüğü
İçişleri Bakanlığı - Müsteşarlık - Müsteşar Yardımcılığı - İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğü

T.C.
DIŐIŐLERİ BAKANLIĐI
Konsolosluk İŐleri Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼

Sayı : 80225068-400.18.03-2019/23421586
Konu : Apostil S¼zleŐmesinin Filipinler'de
Y¼r¼l¼Đe Girmesi

17.05.2019

İÇİŐLERİ BAKANLIĐINA
(M¼steŐarlık - M¼steŐar YardımcılıĐı - İller İdaresi Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼)

- İlgi:** a) 20.09.2018 tarih ve 2018/80225068/14331811 sayılı yazı.
b) 15.05.2019 tarih ve 2019/80225068/23409968 sayılı yazı.
c) 15.05.2019 tarih ve 2019/80225068/23409968 sayılı yazı.

1. "Apostil S¼zleŐmesi"nin Filipinler'de y¼r¼l¼Đe girmesine iliŐkin olarak, Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐının ilgi yazılarımızla iletilen aėıklamalarında deĐinilen ¼nemli hususlar ¼zetle ikinci maddede sunulmaktadır.

2. Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı'nca; Apostil S¼zleŐmesinin Filipinler'de 14 Mayıs 2019 tarihinde y¼r¼rl¼Đe gireceĐi, anılan tarihten itibaren Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı Konsolosluk İliŐkileri Dairesinin (KİD) Apostil konusunda yetkili makam olarak kabul edildiĐi, KİD tarafından verilen Apostil sonrasında belgenin Apostil s¼zleŐmesine taraf ¼lkelerde, baŐkaca bir iŐleme gerek kalmaksızın doĐrudan kullanılabilmesi, aynı Őekilde Avusturya, Finlandiya, Almanya ve Yunanistan hariė, Apostil S¼zleŐmesi ¼yesi ¼lkelerde Apostil verilmiŐ evrakların da Filipinler'de baŐkaca bir iŐleme gerek duymaksızın kullanılabilmesi; Apostil S¼zleŐmesine ¼ye olmayan ¼lkeler bakımından olaĐan tasdik y¼nteminin uygulanmasına devam edileceĐi, bu erevede, evrakın Filipinler'de kullanılmak ¼zere, Filipinler'in ilgili ¼lkelerdeki B¼y¼kelilik veya BaŐkonsolosluklarında onaylatılması gerektiĐi ve benzer biimde, Filipinler menŐeili bir evrakın da Apostil S¼zleŐmesine ¼ye olmayan bir ¼lkede kullanılabilmesi iin ilgili ¼lkenin B¼y¼keliliĐi veya BaŐkonsololuĐunca onaylanması gerektiĐi belirtilmektedir.

Saygılarımla arz ederim.

* e-imzalıdır
YeŐim G¼NDOĐDU
OBANOĐLU
Bakan a.
Daire BaŐkanı
KOPR

Fiziki olarak g¼nderilmeyecektir.

Bu belge 5070 sayılı Elektronik İmza Kanunu'na g¼re g¼venli elektronik imza ile imzalanmıŐtır. Evrakın elektronik imzalı suretine <https://e-belge.mfa.gov.tr> adresinden 40ae2ab8-142e-4196-a226-d3a740cc1290 kodu ile eriŐebilirsiniz.





T.C.
İÇİŞLERİ BAKANLIĞI
İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğü



Sayı : 93473020-492-E.9758
Konu : e-Apostil Uygulaması

22/05/2019

İlgi : Dışişleri Bakanlığının 16.05.2019 tarihli ve 23387239 sayılı yazısı.

Dışişleri Bakanlığından alınan “e-Apostil Uygulaması” konulu ilgi yazı ekte gönderilmiştir.

Bilgi ve gereğini rica ederim.

Nurtaç ARSLAN
Bakan a.
Genel Müdür Yardımcısı

Ek: İlgi yazı (13 sayfa)

Dağıtım:
Bilgi İşlem Dairesi Başkanlığına
81 İl Valiliği

*Bu belge elektronik imzalıdır. imzalı suretinin aslını görmek için <https://www.e-icisleri.gov.tr/EvrakDogrulama> adresine girerek (FPUuOZ-opCxD5-F+pPLz-IWFgN9-341.dnovx) kodunu yazınız.

T.C.
DIŐIŐLERİ BAKANLIĐI
Konsolosluk İŐleri Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼

Sayı : 80225068-400.18.03-2019/23409968
Konu : Apostil S¼zleŐmesinin Filipinler'de
Y¼r¼l¼Đe Girmesi

15.05.2019

DAĐITIM YERLERİNE

İlgi: 20.09.2018 tarih ve 2018/80225068/14331811 sayılı yazı.

1. "Apostil S¼zleŐmesi"nin Filipinler'de y¼r¼l¼Đe girmesine iliŐkin olarak Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı'nca yapılan aėıklamanın metni Manila B¼y¼kelėiliĐimizden alınmakla 2. maddede sunulmaktadır.

2. "Public Advisory: Apostille Convention on Authentication of Documents Takes Effect in PH on 14 May 2019

The Department of Foreign Affairs (DFA)—Office of Consular Affairs wishes to inform the public that it will no longer issue Authentication Certificates from 14 May 2019. Instead, the DFA will affix an Apostille to documents for use abroad as proof of authentication for use in Apostille-contracting parties.

After authentication by the DFA, there is no more need for authentication (legalization) by the concerned Foreign Embassies or Consulates General if the country or territory of destination of the authenticated document is already a member of the Apostille Convention.

Public documents executed in Apostille-contracting countries and territories (except for Austria, Finland, Germany and Greece) to be used in the Philippines no longer have to be authenticated by the Philippine Embassy or Consulate General once Apostilled.

However, in countries and territories which are not Apostille-contracting parties, the previous process of authentication applies. Documents still have to be authenticated by the Philippine Embassy or Consulate General before they can be used in the Philippines. Also, there is still a need for authentication (legalization) by the concerned Foreign Embassies or Consulates General if the country of destination of the authenticated document is not yet a member of the Apostille Convention.

Authentication fees will remain at PhP100 (regular processing) and PhP200 (expedited processing) per document. For the updated list of Apostille-contracting parties, please visit this link:<https://www.hcch.net/en/instruments/conventions/status-table/?cid=4>

Saygılarımla arzederim.

Bu belge 5070 sayılı Elektronik İmza Kanunu'na g¼re g¼venli elektronik imza ile imzalanmıŐtır. Evrakın elektronik imzalı suretine <https://e-belge.mfa.gov.tr> adresinden dfb39f50-22a0-4562-86d2-9294c1eea72f kodu ile eriŐebilirsiniz.



e-imzalıdır
Yeşim GÜNDOĞDU
ÇOBANOĞLU
Bakan a.
Daire Başkanı
KOPR

Fiziki olarak gönderilmeyecektir.

Dağıtım:

Bilgi:

Adalet Bakanlığı - Müsteşarlık - Müsteşar Yardımcılığı - Hukuk İşleri Genel Müdürlüğü
İçişleri Bakanlığı - Müsteşarlık - Müsteşar Yardımcılığı - İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğü

T.C.
DIŐIŐLERİ BAKANLIĐI
Konsolosluk İŐleri Genel M¼d¼rl¼ė¼

Sayı : 80225068-400.18.03-2019/23421586
Konu : Apostil S¼zleŐmesinin Filipinler'de
Y¼r¼l¼ėe Girmesi

17.05.2019

İÇİŐLERİ BAKANLIĐINA
(M¼steŐarlık - M¼steŐar YardımcılıĐı - İller İdaresi Genel M¼d¼rl¼ė¼)

- İlgi:** a) 20.09.2018 tarih ve 2018/80225068/14331811 sayılı yazı.
b) 15.05.2019 tarih ve 2019/80225068/23409968 sayılı yazı.
c) 15.05.2019 tarih ve 2019/80225068/23409968 sayılı yazı.

1. "Apostil S¼zleŐmesi"nin Filipinler'de y¼r¼l¼ėe girmesine iliŐkin olarak, Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐının ilgi yazılarımızla iletilen aėıklamalarında deĐinilen ¼nemli hususlar ¼zetle ikinci maddede sunulmaktadır.

2. Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı'nca; Apostil S¼zleŐmesinin Filipinler'de 14 Mayıs 2019 tarihinde y¼r¼rl¼ėe gireceĐi, anılan tarihten itibaren Filipinler DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı Konsolosluk İliŐkileri Dairesinin (KİD) Apostil konusunda yetkili makam olarak kabul edildiĐi, KİD tarafından verilen Apostil sonrasında belgenin Apostil s¼zleŐmesine taraf ¼lkelerde, baŐkaca bir iŐleme gerek kalmaksızın doĐrudan kullanılabilenleĐi, aynı Őekilde Avusturya, Finlandiya, Almanya ve Yunanistan hariė, Apostil S¼zleŐmesi ¼yesi ¼lkelerde Apostil verilmiŐ evrakların da Filipinler'de baŐkaca bir iŐleme gerek duymaksızın kullanılabilenleĐi; Apostil S¼zleŐmesine ¼ye olmayan ¼lkeler bakımından olaĐan tasdik y¼nteminin uygulanmasına devam edileceĐi, bu ėerėevede, evrakın Filipinler'de kullanılmak ¼zere, Filipinler'in ilgili ¼lkelerdeki B¼y¼kelėilik veya BaŐkonsolosluklarında onaylatılması gerektiĐi ve benzer biėimde, Filipinler menŐcili bir evrakın da Apostil S¼zleŐmesine ¼ye olmayan bir ¼lkede kullanılabilmesi iėin ilgili ¼lkenin B¼y¼kelėiliĐi veya BaŐkonsolosluĐunca onaylanması gerektiĐi belirtilmektedir.

Saygılarımla arzederim.

e-imzalıdır
YeŐim G¼NDOĐDU
ėOBANOĐLU
Bakan a.
Daire BaŐkanı
KOPR

Fiziki olarak g¼nderilmeyecektir.

Bu belge 5070 sayılı Elektronik İmza Kanunu'na g¼re g¼venli elektronik imza ile imzalanmıŐtır. Evrakın elektronik imzalı suretine <https://e-belge.mfa.gov.tr> adresinden 40ae2ab8-142e-4196-a226-d3a740cc1290 kodu ile eriŐebilirsiniz.





T.C.
İÇİŞLERİ BAKANLIĞI
İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğü



Sayı : 93473020-492-E.9877
Konu : e-Apostil Uygulaması

24/05/2019

İlgi : a) Dışişleri Bakanlığının 23.05.2019 tarihli ve 9758 sayılı yazısı.
b) 23.05.2019 tarihli ve 9758 sayılı yazımız.

Dışişleri Bakanlığının ilgi (a) yazısı ekte gönderilmiştir.
Bilgi ve gereğini rica ederim.

Nurtaç ARSLAN
Bakan a.
Genel Müdür Yardımcısı

Ek: İlgi yazı (13 sayfa)

Dağıtım:

Bilgi İşlem Dairesi Başkanlığına
81 İl Valiliği

*Bu belge elektronik imzalıdır. imzalı suretinin aslını görmek için <https://www.e-icisleri.gov.tr/EvrakDogrulama> adresine girerek (1kFVJ1-hdd4MN-tfexkU-MT1yM0-ev7jR4MH) kodunu yazınız.

Kavaklıdere Mahallesi, Atatürk Bulvarı, No:191 Çankaya/Ankara 06680
Telefon No: (312)422 43 32 Dahili: 4333 Faks No: (312)417 36 95
e-Posta: degerlendirmeveprotokol@icisleri.gov.tr İnternet Adresi: <https://www.icisleri.gov.tr/illeridaresi>

Bilgi için: Galip Ercan YAĞLI
Veri Hazırlama Ve Kont İşletmeni
Telefon No:

T.C.
DIŐIŐLERİ BAKANLIĐI
Konsolosluk İŐleri Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼

Sayı : 80225068-400.18.03.02-2019/23387239
Konu : E-Apostil uygulaması

16.05.2019

DAĐITIM YERLERİNE

1. Malumları olduĐu üzere, Yabancı Resmi Belgelerin Tasdiki Mecburiyetinin Kaldırılmasına İliŐkin Lahey S¼zleŐmesine (Apostil S¼zleŐmesi) taraf olan ¼lkelerin bir kısmı e-apostil uygulamasına geçmiŐ bulunmaktadır. E-apostil uygulamasına geçen ¼lkelerin geçiŐ s¼reçleri ile uygulamaların ayrıntı ve örneklerine iliŐkin bildirimler, ¼lkemizde gerekli d¼zenlemelerin yapılması ve bildirimlerin yerel makamlarımıza duyurulması maksadıyla Adalet BakanlıĐımıza iletilmektedir.

E-apostil uygulamasına geçen ¼lkelerin uygulamalarında standart bir y¼ntem izlenmediĐinden, Adalet BakanlıĐımız tarafından yerel makamlarımız nezdinde yapılan duyuru ve bilgilendirmeleri m¼teakiben, e-apostilin doĐruluĐu ve teyit edilebilirliĐi bakımından ortaya çıkan teredd¼tler BakanlıĐımıza iletilmektedir.

DiĐer taraftan, e-apostil uygulamasına geçen, ancak ¼lkemize bildirimde bulunmayan bazı ¼lkeler konusunda da yerel makamlarımız nezdinde uygulamaya y¼nelik sıkıntılar ortaya çıkmaktadır.

Bu çerçevede, e-apostil s¼recinin iŐleyiŐinin anlaşılabilmesi ve uygulamadaki teredd¼tlerin giderilebilmesini teminen, Lahey B¼y¼kelçiliĐimizce Lahey Uluslararası Øzel Hukuk Konferansı (LUØHK) Sekretaryasından, uluslararası uygulama hakkında temin edilen bilgiler izleyen maddelerde sunulmuŐtur:

2. "Halihazırda e-apostil uygulayan 35 ¼lke bulunmaktadır. E-apostil uygulama tablosu ekte sunulmuŐ olup, tabloda, e-apostil uygulayan ¼lkelere yer verilmektedir. Bu ¼lkelerin LUØHK'e e-apostil vermeye ve/veya e-sicil sistemini uygulamaya yetkili makamlarının incelenmesinden, b¼y¼k bir kısmının DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐını veya Adalet BakanlıĐını yetkilendirdikleri g¼r¼lm¼Őt¼r.

Bu ¼lkeler arasında, belgelerin idarİ ve adlİ niteliĐine iliŐkin bir ayırım yaparak İçiŐleri BakanlıĐı, Adalet BakanlıĐı ile EĐitim ve Bilim BakanlıĐını yetkilendiren (Bulgaristan) veya DıŐiŐleri BakanlıĐı ve İçiŐleri BakanlıĐını yetkilendiren (Tacikistan) ¼lkelerin yanı sıra, birden çok adlİ veya idarİ makamı yetkilendiren ¼lkeler (ABD, Brezilya, İspanya, Romanya, Rusya Federasyonu, Slovenya, Őili) de bulunmaktadır.

Yapılan incelemeden, bu ¼lkelerin apostil Őerhi vermeye yetkili makamlarını, e-apostil verme konusunda veya e-sicil sistemini uygulama hususunda da yetkilendirdikleri anlaşılmuŐtur.

Bu belge 5070 sayılı Elektronik İmza Kanunu'na g¼re g¼venli elektronik imza ile imzalanmuŐtur. Evrakın elektronik imzalı suretine <https://e-belge.mfa.gov.tr> adresinden c24571f4-ab52-47b7-a11e-c6646ce4b09c kodu ile eriŐebilirsiniz.



3. LUÖHK tarafından e-apostilin en iyi uygulama örnekleri arasına gösterilen İspanya'daki işleyiş hakkında daha fazla bilgiye <https://www.hcch.net/en/states/authorities/details3/?aid=346> linkinden ulaşılması mümkündür.

İspanya e-apostil sisteminde, e-apostil ve e-sicil sisteminin bir arada bulunduğu, 44 adlı ve idarî makamın e-apostil verme konusunda yetkilendirildiği görülmüştür. Ancak ıslak imzalı apostil verme uygulaması da sonlandırılmamıştır.

E-apostil uygulaması çerçevesinde, apostil talep eden kişi elektronik imzalı, apostil taşıyan bir elektronik dokümana sahip olmakta veya kendisine verilen kodu kullanarak bunu Adalet Bakanlığı web sitesinden indirebilmektedir. E-apostil taşıyan bu belge Yabancı Resmi Belgelerin Tasdiki Mecburiyetinin Kaldırılması Sözleşmesi'ne taraf olan herhangi bir ülkede kullanılabilir. Diğer bir ifadeyle sözkonusu elektronik belgeyi uluslararası kullanıma çıkarabilmektedir.

İspanya'da ayrıca, belge düzenlemeye ve tasdik etmeye yetkili makamların tüm imzalarını içeren merkezî bir elektronik veri tabanı (e-sicil) bulunmaktadır. Verilen tüm apostiller, kâğıt ortamında verilenler de dâhil olmak üzere izlenmek ve geçerliliği kontrol edilmek üzere bu elektronik veri tabanına kaydedilmektedir. Belgeler uzun süre bu veri tabanında saklanmaktadır. Bu sistem sayesinde, e-apostil taşıyan belgenin sunulduğu herhangi bir yabancı makam, bu belgenin geçerliliğini belgede yer alan kodları kullanmak suretiyle sisteme girerek teyit edebilmektedir. Bu sistem belgelerin uluslararası geçerliliğini ve güvenilirliğini güçlendirmektedir.

4. 1 Kasım 2016 tarihinde gerçekleştirilen "10. Uluslararası E-Apostil Forumu"nun e-apostil konusunda bilgilere yer vermekte olan raporu keza ekte sunulmuştur. Bahsekonu raporda, bir sonraki (11.) Uluslararası E-Apostil Forumunun 16-18 Ekim 2019 tarihlerinde Brezilya'da yapılacağı belirtilmekte olup, bu alandaki gelişmelerin, ülke uygulamaları ile iyi uygulama örneklerinin takibi amacıyla anılan Forum'a katılım sağlanabileceği akla gelmektedir.

Ayrıca, E-Apostil konusundaki tüm bilgi ve belgelere <https://www.hcch.net/en/instruments/conventions/specialised-sections/apostille> adresinden ulaşılması mümkündür."

Saygılarımla arz ederim.

*** e-imzalıdır**

Esin ÇAKIL

Bakan a.

Elçi, Genel Müdür Yardımcısı

KOGY

Ek: Tablo ve rapor

Dağıtım:

Bilgi:

Adalet Bakanlığı - Dış İlişkiler ve Avrupa Birliği Genel Müdürlüğü

İçişleri Bakanlığı - Müsteşarlık - Müsteşar Yardımcılığı - İller İdaresi Genel Müdürlüğü

Implementation Chart of the e-APP

This chart identifies Competent Authorities that have implemented one or both of the e-APP components. Please note that a State listed may have designated other Competent Authorities which have not yet implemented the e-APP.

States	Competent Authority(ies)	e-Apostilles (implementation date)	e-Register (implementation date -click to access)	Notes
<u>Andorra</u>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>July 2009</u>	
<u>Argentina</u>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Worship</u>		<u>November 2017</u>	
<u>Australia</u>	<u>Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade</u>		<u>December 2015</u>	
<u>Austria</u>	<u>Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs</u>	June 2015	<u>June 2015</u>	
<u>Bahrain</u>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>	December 2015	February 2014	No specific link is available as the e-Register operates by using Quick Response (QR) codes to generate unique URLs.
<u>Belgium</u>	<u>Federal Public Service: Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade and Development Cooperation</u>	March 2018	<u>October 2007</u>	
<u>Brazil</u>	<u>Ombudsman of the National Council of Justice</u> (in addition to notaries, registries)		<u>August 2016</u>	

States	Competent Authority(ies)	e-Apostilles (implementation date)	e-Register (implementation date -click to access)	Notes
	<u>and judicial authorities)</u>			
Bulgaria	<u>Ministry of Justice</u> <u>Ministry of Education and Science</u> <u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>November 2008</u>	
Chile	<u>Relevant authorities of the</u> <u>Ministries of Justice, Education, Health,</u> <u>Foreign Affairs and the Civil and</u> <u>Identification Registration Service</u>	<u>August 2016</u>	<u>August 2016</u>	
China (Hong Kong)	<u>The Registrar of the High Court</u>		<u>September 2014</u>	
Colombia	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>	<u>October 2007</u>	<u>October 2007</u>	
Costa Rica	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>December 2011</u>	
Dominican Republic	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>August 2009</u>	
Estonia	<u>Chamber of Notaries</u>	<u>December 2017</u>	<u>December 2017</u>	
Georgia	<u>Ministry of Justice</u> <u>(including 7 Regional Offices)</u>		<u>July 2009</u>	
Ireland	<u>Department of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>March 2013</u>	

States	Competent Authority(ies)	e-Apostilles (implementation date)	e-Register (implementation date -click to access)	Notes
Korea, Republic of	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u> <u>Ministry of Justice</u>		<u>November 2016</u>	
Latvia	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>March 2018</u>	
Mexico	<u>Ministry of Interior</u>		<u>February 2010</u>	
	<u>Federal District – Legal Department</u>		<u>tbc</u>	
	<u>Jalisco – Secretary of Government</u>		<u>tbc</u>	
	<u>Baja California Sur</u>		<u>November 2014</u>	
Morocco	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>		<u>August 2016</u>	
New Zealand	<u>Department of Internal Affairs</u>	May 2009	<u>April 2010</u>	
Nicaragua	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>May 2013</u>	
Paraguay	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>September 2014</u>	
Peru	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs (including 7 Regional Offices)</u>		<u>October 2010</u>	

States	Competent Authority(ies)	e-Apostilles (implementation date)	e-Register (Implementation date -click to access)	Notes
Republic of Moldova	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>	October 2013	<u>January 2009</u>	
Romania	<u>Offices of the Prefect</u>		<u>December 2014</u>	
Russian Federation	<u>83 executive bodies of constituent entities ("federal subjects") of the Russian Federation</u>		<u>April 2012</u>	The e-Register records all Apostilles issued for education documents, academic degrees and titles executed by the Government of the Russian Federation.
Slovenia	<u>11 District Courts</u>	October 2015	<u>September 2012</u>	
Spain	<u>44 judicial and administrative Competent Authorities</u>	May 2011	<u>May 2011</u>	Murcia had already implemented the e-Apostille component in November 2008. A nationwide implementation of the e-Apostille component was completed in May 2011. Central e-Register used by all Competent Authorities under the purview of the Ministry of Justice.
Tajikistan	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u> <u>Ministry of Justice</u>		<u>February 2016</u>	
Ukraine	<u>Ministry of Education and Science</u>		<u>January 2013</u>	

States	Competent Authority(ies)	e-Apostilles (implementation date)	e-Register (implementation date -click to access)	Notes
	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>		<u>November 2015</u>	
United Kingdom	<u>Foreign and Commonwealth Office</u>		<u>March 2014</u>	
Uruguay	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>October 2012</u>	
USA	<u>California - Secretary of State</u>		<u>December 2010</u>	
	<u>Colorado - Secretary of State</u>		<u>April 2011</u>	
	<u>Kansas - Secretary of State</u>	February 2007		
	<u>North Carolina - Secretary of State</u>		<u>June 2010</u>	The implementation of the e-Register is not yet fully e-APP compatible.
	<u>Rhode Island - Secretary of State</u>		<u>February 2007</u>	
	<u>Texas - Secretary of State</u>		<u>November 2008</u>	The e-Register only records Apostilles issued by the Corporations Section of the Secretary of State.
	<u>Washington - Secretary of State</u>		<u>September 2011</u>	The implementation of the e-Register is not yet fully e-APP compatible.
	<u>West Virginia - Secretary of State</u>		<u>February 2011</u>	

States	Competent Authority(ies)	e-Apostilles (implementation date)	e-Register (implementation date -click to access)	Notes
Venezuela	<u>Ministry of Popular Power for Foreign Affairs</u>		<u>July 2014</u>	

10th International Forum on the electronic Apostille Program (e-APP)

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

On 1 November 2016, over 180 experts from over 65 States (both Contracting and non-Contracting Parties), as well as other invited observers, convened in The Hague, Netherlands to attend the *10th International Forum on the electronic Apostille Program (e-APP)*, this being the highest ever number of participants in the history of the e-APP.

The Forum was organised by the Permanent Bureau to coincide with the meeting of the Special Commission on the practical operation of the *Hague Convention of 5 October 1961 Abolishing the Requirement of Legalisation for Foreign Public Documents* (Apostille Convention or Convention).

Participants emphasised that the interest in the Apostille Convention and the e-APP continues to increase, with many accessions to the Convention expected and further implementation of the e-APP envisaged.

This being the 10th such Forum, and while recognising the value of past Fora, participants decided to mark the occasion by compiling the numerous Conclusions & Recommendations (C&R) from previous Fora in addition to adopting new Conclusions, in order to produce the following authoritative omnibus of e-APP Forum C&R, which was unanimously agreed upon:

The electronic Apostille Program (e-APP) in general

1. The participants noted with great satisfaction that over 200 Competent Authorities from 29 Contracting Parties have, to date, implemented one or both components of the e-APP. They particularly welcomed the jurisdictions that have joined the e-APP since the 2014 Hong Kong Forum, namely Australia, Austria, Brazil, Chile, Romania, Tajikistan and one state of Mexico (Baja California Sur). In addition, participants congratulated those Contracting Parties that are progressing with the implementation of one or both components of the e-APP. Participants noted with satisfaction that a high percentage of newly acceding Contracting Parties join as e-APP Parties.
2. Participants reiterated that the e-APP enables the Apostille Convention to continue to grow from strength to strength. They recalled the value of the e-APP as a tool to further the secure and effective operation of the Convention more broadly. In addition, participants noted the continuing increase in the issuance of e-Apostilles, with demand growing steadily, and in the number of verifications of Apostilles using e-Registers.
3. Participants reiterated that the spirit and letter of the Apostille Convention do not constitute an obstacle to the usage of modern technology and their application and operation can be further improved by relying on such technology.
4. Participants strongly encouraged both existing and future Competent Authorities to consider implementing both components of the e-APP. In this respect, participants noted the increasing ease of implementing these components, largely due to the fact that there is an increasing number of Contracting Parties that have the requisite implementation experience. These Contracting Parties are thus available for consultation and to provide assistance to new Contracting Parties, particularly with respect to addressing privacy, security, technological or other concerns. Participants thus confirmed that effective communication between Competent Authorities with regard to the implementation of the e-APP will facilitate the development of good practices and enhance awareness among authorities of the different e-APP systems in operation.

5. Participants reaffirmed the good practice of informing the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference when Contracting Parties begin issuing e-Apostilles or operating an e-Register. In the past, some Contracting Parties have informed also the depositary (*i.e.*, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands).
6. Participants noted with interest the developments reported and updates provided by the experts in attendance, from both Contracting Parties and the invited observers. In particular, the Forum noted with great interest the initiatives of the DONA Foundation and the InterPARES International Research Project, acknowledging the utility of harnessing the power of technologies such as the Handle System, Cloud-facilitated document preservation and Blockchain. Participants were invited to continue to study the relevance of these and other related technologies for the e-APP.

e-Apostilles

7. Participants noted that two different systems relating to the issuance of e-Apostilles are currently in use among the Contracting Parties to the Convention: the *dynamic* system and the *static* system, the former being used in the majority of Contracting Parties that have implemented the e-Apostille component and the latter in one Contracting Party. Under the *dynamic* system, the electronic file containing the e-Apostille and the electronic public document is transmitted electronically from the "State of origin" to the "State of destination".¹ The e-Apostille can be subsequently verified in the e-Register of the Competent Authority. Under the *static* system, the electronic file containing the e-Apostille and the electronic public document is stored in a repository of the Competent Authority (usually, its e-Register) and is not transmitted. The file can then be viewed by the applicant and / or recipient by accessing the Competent Authority's repository.
8. Participants noted that despite the differences between the systems relating to the issuance of e-Apostilles, both systems work well in practice. Several Contracting Parties reported receiving positive feedback with respect to the issuance of their e-Apostilles.
9. The participants noted the increase in the number of electronic public documents issued around the globe and that e-Apostilles offer the only solution for apostillising electronic public documents in their original format. Participants noted that some Contracting Parties that have not implemented the e-Apostille component are currently issuing paper Apostilles for electronic public documents. This is done by attaching a paper Apostille to a printout of an electronic public document, which would seem to undermine the purpose and utility of electronic public documents. In practice, e-Apostilles offer the only solution for apostillising electronic public documents, thereby maintaining the advantages of these documents in terms of security, efficiency and ease of transmission.
10. Participants also noted that Competent Authorities are increasingly issuing e-Apostilles for paper public documents which are subsequently scanned or digitalised. The law of the Issuing Party determines how and by whom paper public documents are to be scanned or digitalised. The participants noted the different practices and reaffirmed the fundamental function of Competent Authorities to verify the authenticity of public documents and the need to adopt practices which allow assessing the genuine character of all documents presented as public documents for the issuance of an Apostille.
11. Participants noted that some Competent Authorities have started issuing only e-Apostilles for both electronic documents and paper public documents that are subsequently scanned or digitalised. In these jurisdictions, paper Apostilles will no longer be issued and only e-Apostilles will be issued for public documents.
12. Participants recognised the benefits of using modern technologies in providing Apostille services (incl. reducing the turnaround time in the issuance of Apostilles) and noted that at least one Contracting Party (Colombia) has implemented an online e-Apostille service, which is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. In addition, the use of modern technologies facilitates the implementation of a one-step process (*i.e.*, there is no need for prior authentication within the "State of origin" before the public document may be presented to the Competent Authority for apostillisation).

¹ Throughout these C&R, the terms "State of origin" and "State of destination" are used as terms of art and are to be given the meaning ascribed to them in the Glossary of the Apostille Handbook.

13. Participants noted that some e-APP jurisdictions have passed laws or regulations specifically to enable their Competent Authorities to issue e-Apostilles, whereas others have not seen the need for such measures. It is for the law of the issuing Party to determine whether such measures are necessary (see also C&R No 18).
14. Participants also recalled that Apostilles, whether in paper or electronic form, must be attached to the underlying public document (Art. 4 of the Apostille Convention).

Acceptance of e-Apostilles

15. Participants again emphasised the fundamental principle of the Convention according to which an Apostille validly issued by one Contracting Party must be accepted in other Contracting Parties; the Forum participants stressed that this principle equally applies to e-Apostilles issued in accordance with domestic law of the issuing Party. Not extending this basic principle to e-Apostilles would provide receiving Parties with more power in the electronic environment than they have in the paper environment. Such a double standard would be both undesirable and unsatisfactory as the use of e-Apostilles offers a far higher security standard than paper Apostilles, because paper Apostilles may be more easily falsified or tampered with than e-Apostilles. This acceptance of foreign e-Apostilles is further supported by the fact that the majority of Contracting Parties have adopted legislation to the effect that electronic signatures are the functional equivalent of manuscript (wet) signatures. Finally, Forum participants stressed the great advantage of the parallel use of an e-Register if and when a Competent Authority issues e-Apostilles; the possibility to also verify the origin of an e-Apostille in the relevant e-Register should provide recipients of e-Apostilles with all the necessary assurance.
16. Participants noted that a "State of destination" may not reject e-Apostilles on the sole ground that the "State of origin" or the "State of destination" does not have legislation concerning e-Apostilles. Participants further recalled that Apostilles, whether in paper or in electronic format, do not affect the acceptance, admissibility or probative value of the underlying public document.
17. Reference was made to the model laws promulgated by UNCITRAL in relation to e-commerce and e-signatures. Participants were invited to work with their relevant authorities to ensure, where suitable, that domestic law is compatible with the receipt of underlying public documents in the electronic form, so as to reduce, as far as possible, the risk of rejection of underlying public documents in the "State of destination".
18. The participants noted that e-Apostilles are being widely accepted and have been of great benefit to users. Where there have been instances of rejection because the underlying public document must be presented in paper form under the domestic law of the "State of destination", Competent Authorities have attempted a variety of actions, such as contacting the diplomatic missions of the "State of destination" and engaging in a dialogue to explain the process of issuance in further detail to alleviate security and other concerns. As a result of this dialogue, some Competent Authorities then issue a paper Apostille to accommodate the (often urgent) needs of the applicants.

Design and layout

19. Participants also noted that the design and layout of Apostilles (both paper and electronic) must conform with the model annexed to the Convention. In order to facilitate the circulation of public documents, participants recalled the utility of conforming as closely as possible to this model, as well as the importance of bilingual or trilingual Apostille Certificates and the inclusion of additional text outside the area of the 10 standard informational items.

Electronic signatures and digital certificates

20. The participants noted that the majority of Contracting Parties have adopted legislation recognising that electronic signatures are functionally equivalent to handwritten signatures.
21. Forum participants reaffirmed the good practice of applying high standards to the issuance and management of digital credentials for use in applying digital signatures to e-Apostilles. This includes choosing a Certificate Authority that is well recognised in providing digital certificates which run on all major browsers and suit the document format chosen by the Competent Authority.

22. Participants acknowledged the good practice of providing information about how to validate signatures on e-Apostilles and, where applicable, of ensuring that all e-Apostilles issued are included in the e-Register.

Non-expiry of e-Apostilles

23. The participants further recalled that as Apostilles do not have an expiration date, e-Apostilles continue to be valid even after the digital certificate of the person signing the e-Apostille expires, provided that the digital certificate was valid when the e-Apostille was issued. Participants invited Competent Authorities to take this situation into account when selecting and using digital certificates to issue e-Apostilles, noting the availability of Long Term Signatures that remain valid beyond the expiry of the digital credential, such as "Advanced Electronic Signatures" for PDF (PADES) and HML (XAdES-T).

e-Registers

24. Participants reaffirmed that an e-Register is an invaluable tool to facilitate and enhance the use of Apostille registers to verify the origin of Apostilles, providing an efficient means of verification and thus additional assurance for users.
25. Participants further noted the proven benefits of e-Registers in facilitating the verification of Apostilles in *both* paper and electronic form, but also that non-repudiation of e-Apostilles in particular is greatly enhanced by the parallel use of an e-Register.
26. Participants emphasised that frequent and more systematic verification of Apostilles is essential in combatting fraud. To facilitate this, participants recommended that Contracting Parties consider implementing e-Registers that allow searches in English and French, with simple, user-friendly interfaces.
27. Participants noted that like registers in paper form, e-Registers must comply with the requirements set out in Article 7 of the Apostille Convention. Accordingly, an e-Register must at least record the following particulars: (i) the number and date of the Apostille; and (ii) the name of the person signing the public document and the capacity in which he has acted, or in the case of unsigned documents, the name of the authority which has affixed the seal or stamp. The e-Register must also allow the recipient of the Apostille (whether a paper Apostille or e-Apostille) to verify each of the above particulars.
28. Participants noted that basic e-Registers (Category 1 e-Registers that simply confirm whether or not an Apostille matching the number and date entered by the user has been issued) do not allow the relevant Competent Authority to discharge its obligations under Article 7 of the Apostille Convention, as it does not allow the recipient to verify the name of the person who has signed the public document and the capacity in which that person has acted, or in the case of unsigned documents, the name of the authority which has affixed the seal or stamp. Furthermore, Category 1 e-Registers do not provide the assurance that the relevant Apostille is indeed being used with the underlying public document for which it was originally issued. Competent Authorities are therefore encouraged to operate e-Registers that provide at least a basic description and / or image of the Apostille and / or of the underlying public document (Category 2 e-Registers) or which also provide for a digital verification of the Apostille and / or of the underlying public document (Category 3 e-Registers). Participants further recalled that the full display of the Apostille and / or the underlying public document is subject to data protection laws of the jurisdiction operating the e-Register.
29. Participants stressed the importance of preventing fishing expeditions (*i.e.*, attempts by users of an e-Register to collect information about Apostilles that they have not received) in the use of e-Registers and suggested the entry of unique information associated with an Apostille received; the most efficient means to accomplish this goal is for Competent Authorities to number Apostilles non-sequentially (or otherwise randomly) and for the e-Register to request the recipient to enter this unique identifier in the e-Register, together with the date of issuance of the Apostille. If Apostilles are numbered sequentially, it is recommended to include a code on the Apostille (ideally alphanumeric and generated electronically) outside the area containing the 10 standard informational items of the Apostille and to request the recipient to enter this code together with the number and date of the Apostille to access the e-Register.

30. In order to ensure a comprehensive system of verification for all Apostilles issued, participants encouraged Contracting Parties to, where possible, implement a centralised e-Register connecting the Competent Authorities of that Contracting Party.
31. Participants once again acknowledged the value and importance of clearly displaying instructions for accessing the e-Register on the Apostille certificate, together with an accompanying message that the origin of the Apostille may be verified online via an e-Register. To this end, participants noted with satisfaction the various practices of Contracting Parties, ranging from providing a simple URL with a unique identifier, to the use of a Quick Response (QR) code. In particular, participants noted that e-Registers are regularly requiring users to enter a randomly generated word and / or number to ensure that the user is a person and not a computer. This practice is to be encouraged as it helps avoiding spam. The participants noted that relevant technology is evolving and that other means can produce the same results.
32. In the interests of securing the relevant website, participants encouraged Contracting Parties to use an SSL Certificate of similar technology (often indicated by a green colour in the URL bar of the web browser), which provides proof of an independent third party verification that the website itself belongs to the authority, person or company to which it purports to belong. Thus providing visitors to the website (*i.e.*, in this case, those seeking to verify an Apostille) with proof of the identity and confidence in the integrity and security of the online communications.
33. Subject to any domestic legal and practical requirements, issuing Competent Authorities are encouraged to keep e-Register entries accessible online for as long as possible, so as to allow for continued online verification of Apostilles.